

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

April 28, 2006

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314**APR 28 2006**TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400  
FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787  
E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM  
WWW.OLIFF.COM**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**To: Examiner L. TRANFrom: Jori KrischkeYour Ref.: 10/707,577Our Ref.: 117423Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2 2Prepared By: rav**Comments:**Sent By: jbk

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

APR 28 2006

# **Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**

Application No.: 10/707,577 First Named Applicant: Gerard H. ROUSSEAU  
Examiner: L. TRAN Art Unit: 2853 Status of Application:

## **Tentative Participants:**

- (1) L. TRAN      (2) Jori Krischke  
(3) S. MEIER      (4) Chris Brown

**Proposed Date of Interview:** 5/01/06      **Proposed Time:** 11:00 AM      **(AM/PM)**

**Type of Interview Requested:**

- (1)  Telephonic      (2)  Personal      (3)  Video Conference

**Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:**  YES  NO

**If yes, provide brief description:**

## **Issues To Be Discussed**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection under 102(b)</u>	<u>15, 17-19</u>	<u>Rousseau et al.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rejection under 103(a)</u>	<u>1-5, 9-12</u>	<u>Reeves in view of Nguyen</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Continuation Sheet Attached

### **Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

Rousseau does not teach the drum maintenance unit of claim 15 and the method of installing the unit of claim 17. Specifically, claim 15 describes a drum maintenance unit with a positioning mechanism that provides a signal to the media device when the drum maintenance unit is properly installed in the media device.

Neither Reeves nor Nguyen teach or suggest claim 1 or the claims dependent from claim 1 in that neither would have led one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a latching mechanism to both secure a drum maintenance unit to a media device and provide electrical contact between the drum maintenance unit and the media device.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

**NOTE:**

**This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP 6.713 (1)).**

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)